15 11	(Rev.	12/	12)
J 3 44	(Rev.	12/	12

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of illitiating the civil do	JEKET SHEET. (SEE INSTRUC	HONSON NEXT FAGE O	T IIIIS FO	1001.)	- 4				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS United States of America, ex rel. Anthony Rock				DEFENDANTS Support of Microco	omputer A	ssociates 5	64	6	1
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND CO	(IN U.S. P.	<i>LAINTIFF CASES OF</i> ON CASES, USE TH)
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Teresa N. Cavenagh Duane Morris LLP, 30 S. Philadelphia, PA 19103	17th Street	די		Attorneys (If Known)					
JASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
X 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	İ	(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 en of This State		Incorporated or Print of Business In Th		PTF 4	DEF
U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and Pr of Business In A		5	5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3	Foreign Nation		1 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On	ly)				Λ			
CONTRACT	TO	RTS	F(ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY /	OTHER S		ES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Personal Property Parsonal Property Insulation Property Damage Product Liability 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement 500 Personal Property Product Liability 550 Prison Conditions of Confinement	Y	LABOR O Cother LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Management Relations O Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical Leave Act O Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION So Naturalization Application So Other Immigration Actions	422 Appe 423 With 28 U PROPEI 820 Copy 830 Pater 840 Tradi 861 HlA 862 Blaci 863 DIW 864 SSIE 865 RSI (al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rrights at emark SECURITY (1395ff) & Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	480 Consume 490 Cable/Ss 850 Securitie Exchang 890 Other St 891 Agricult 893 Environt 895 Freedom Act 896 Arbitrati 899 Adminis	apportionn ad Bankin; ce ce ion r Influence Organizati er Credit it TV s/Commo ge atutory Ac atutory Ac atutory Ac atutory Ac mental Ma of Inform on trative Pro ew or Ap Decision tionality o	ced and cions odities/ ctions atters nation ocedure
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) I Original									
		Appellate Court		(specify)		Litigation			
. CAUSE OF ACTION	1 31 U.S.C. Sec. 3	3729 et seq.		Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes uniess at	versity): DEC	4 20	15	
	Submission of Fa	Ise Claims to U.S.	Governr	ment Agencies					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	•	EMAND \$ 1,000,000.00		HECK YES only in URY DEMAND:	if dermanded in o	complain No	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER									
DATE 12/4/2019	5	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOLINT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE									

Case 2:15-cv-06464-PBT Document 1 Filed 12/04/15 Page 2 of 18

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate entendar.	15	6464
Address of Plaintiff: United States		0407
Address of Defendant: 1819 John F. Kennedy Blvd., Philadelph:	ia. PA	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 1819 John F. Kennedy Blvd., 1		
(Use Reverse Side For Ad	ditional Space)	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and		· . \
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	₩es□	No XXX
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□	NoXXX
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:		
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	<u> </u>	_
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior su	Yes□ it pending or within one year previous	NXXX v terminated
action in this court?		
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier nu	Yes	NXXX
terminated action in this court?	Yes	NAXXX
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	case filed by the same individual?	
	Yes□	NX.XX
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)		
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases	:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract a	nd Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal In	jury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation	
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Inju	ıry
5. □ Patent	5. D Motor Vehicle Perso	nal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. D Other Personal Injur	y (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability	
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability —	Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity C	ases
10 Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)	
All other Federal Question Cases		
(Please specify) 31 U.S.C. Sec. 3729		
ARBITRATION CERTIF	FICATION	
I, Teresa N. Cavenagh , counsel of record do hereby certify:		
☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and be		ivil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	-	
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.		
DATE: 12(4 2015 Teresa N. Cavenagh	4381	+5
Attorney-at-Law		rney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	nas been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38	WEL - 4 2015
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or w	ithin one year previously terminated	action in this court
except as noted above.		ļ
DATE: 12/4/2015 June Concerd	43849	5

Attorney-at-Law

Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

Case 2:15-cv-06464-PBT Document 1 Filed 12/04/15 Page 3 of 18

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA



CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

United States of America, e	x rel.	CIVIL ACTION
Anthony Rock V.	:	15 6464
Support of Microcomputer As	sociates :	15 0404 NO.
plaintiff shall complete a Case filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the ev designation, that defendant sh	e Management Track Designation on all defendants. (See that a defendant does all, with its first appearances, a Case Management Track Designation of the Management Track Property of the Management T	Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for the plan Form in all civil cases at the time of See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse not agree with the plaintiff regarding said e, submit to the clerk of court and serve on each Designation Form specifying the track gned.
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases br	ought under 28 U.S.C. § 2	241 through § 2255. ()
(b) Social Security – Cases re and Human Services deny	questing review of a decisi ing plaintiff Social Security	
(c) Arbitration - Cases requir	ed to be designated for arb	itration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvin exposure to asbestos.	g claims for personal injur	y or property damage from
		ial or intense management by
(f) Standard Management – C	Cases that do not fall into ar	ny one of the other tracks.
10/1/		
12/4/2015	Teresa N. Cavenagh	Relator
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for TNCavenagh@duanemorris.com
215-979-1808	215-689-1939	IIII C

FAX Number

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Telephone

E-Mail Address

Case 2:15-cv-06464 DOCument 1 Filed 12/04/15 Page 4 of 18

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, EX REL. ANTHONY ROCK,

Plaintiffs,

FILED IN CAMERA

AND UNDER SEAL

PURSUANT TO 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b)(2)

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 15 6464

SUPPORT OF MICROCOMPUTERS

ASSOCIATES

and

EDWARD BLUMENTHAL

Defendants.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND OTHER RELIEF UNDER THE FALSE CLAIMS ACT

Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b)(1), Relator, Anthony Rock ("Relator"), on behalf of the United States of America, brings this civil action under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3729 et seq ("FCA"). In accordance with § 3730(b)(2) of the FCA, this Complaint is to be filed in camera and remain under seal for a period of at least 60 days and shall not be served on the Defendant until the Court so orders. The government may elect to intervene and proceed with the action within 60 days after it receives both the Complaint and the material evidence and information. In support of the Complaint, Relator alleges as follows:

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1. This case arises from the intentional and/or reckless conduct and fraudulent schemes of Defendant, Support of Microcomputers Associates ("SOMA") and Edward Blumenthal ("Blumenthal" (collectively "Defendants") and their violation of federal laws and regulations, including the Trade Agreement Act ("TAA"). Defendants knowingly sold non-TAA compliant goods and product manufactured in China, Malaysia and elsewhere to

numerous federal government agencies via its General Service Administration ("GSA") schedule contracts.

2. In so doing, Defendants have submitted false claims for payment and have made or used false records and certifications to get claims paid in violation of the FCA.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This action arises under the FCA to recover treble damages and civil
 penalties on behalf of the United States of America arising out of Defendants' violations of the
 FCA.
- 4. Under §3732 of the FCA, this Court has jurisdiction over actions brought under the FCA. Furthermore, jurisdiction over this action is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this civil action arises under the laws of the United States.
- 5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to § 3732(a) of the FCA, which provides that: "any action under §3730 may be brought in any judicial district in which the defendant or, in the case of multiple defendants, any one defendant can be found, resides, transacts business, or in which any act proscribed by §3729 occurred." Defendants reside in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Moreover, at all times material hereto, Defendants have regularly conducted substantial business within the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. In addition, proscribed acts by Defendants, among those that are the subject of this action, occurred in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Venue is additionally proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1)-(2).

III. FILING UNDER SEAL

- 6. Under the FCA, this Complaint is to be filed *in camera* and remain under seal for a period of at least sixty (60) days and shall not be served on Defendants until the Court so orders. The Government may elect to intervene and proceed with the action within sixty (60) days after the Government receives the Complaint.
- 7. As required by the FCA, Relator will voluntarily serve on the United States a copy of the Complaint and all other relevant information in the form of a written

disclosure statement provided to the United States Attorney General and the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, containing information in his possession pertaining to the allegations contained in this Complaint.

IV. PARTIES

- 8. Relator is a citizen and a resident of Marlton, New Jersey. Relator brings this action on behalf of the United States.
- 9. From February 2009 until September 2015, Relator was a salesperson for SOMA. Among his sales duties, Relator was responsible for sales to government agencies via SOMA's GSA supply contracts. After he started working at SOMA, Relator was responsible for initiating SOMA's GSA Federal Supply Schedule ("FSS") contracts.
- 10. Prior to joining SOMA, Relator had extensive experience with GSA FSS contracts with his former employer.
- 11. As a result of his employment and position with SOMA, Relator has first-hand knowledge of the business operations of SOMA, the fraudulent and illegal conduct of SOMA in connection with its GSA FSS contracts, its repeated sales of non-TAA compliant goods and products to United States government agencies and the submission of false claims and false certifications with respect to Defendants' sales of non-TAA compliant goods to government agencies.
- None of the actionable allegations set forth in this Complaint is based upon a public disclosure as set forth in 31 U.S.C. §3730(e)(4). Notwithstanding the same, Relator is an original source of the facts alleged in this Complaint. As a result of his employment with SOMA, Relator has first-hand knowledge of the business operations of SOMA and its intentional and/or reckless disregard and fraudulent conduct in connection with its sales of non-TAA compliant goods and products to government agencies. Prior to filing this Complaint, Relator voluntarily provided information regarding SOMA's illegal conduct and false certifications to the federal government.

- 13. Defendant SOMA is incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. SOMA's principal place of business is at 1819 JFK Boulevard, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 14. SOMA supplies computers, copiers, printers and various computer components and supplies to private and government entities.
- 15. Defendant Edward Blumenthal ("Blumenthal") is the President and Treasurer of SOMA. Blumenthal solely directs and manages the business and operations of SOMA. Upon information and belief, Blumenthal resides in Lafayette Hills, Pennsylvania.

V. APPLICABLE LEGAL FRAMEWORK

A. TAA AND THE FEDERAL SUPPLY SCHEDULE CONTRACTS

- 16. The TAA governs trade agreements negotiated between the United States and other countries. It was enacted to approve and implement trade agreements negotiated under the Trade Act of 1974.
- 17. The TAA applies to federal procurements valued in excess of certain threshold amounts.
- 18. If the value of a government contract exceeds the TAA threshold, the TAA requires that those goods sold to the United States or its agencies must be manufactured in the United States or a TAA Designated Country.
- 19. TAA Designated Countries include those with a free trade agreement with the United States, countries that participate in the World Trade Organization Government Procurement Agreement and various "least developed countries." The full list of Designated Countries appears in Federal Acquisition Regulations ('FAR") § 25.300.
- 20. The People's Republic of China ("China") and Malaysia, among other countries, are not on the list of TAA Designated Countries.
- 21. FSS contracts are long-term, multiple-awardee, indefinite-quantity government contracts principally administered by the GSA. 41 U.S.C. § 259(b)(3)(A).

- 22. A vendor that is awarded an FSS Contract must publish an "Authorized Federal Supply Schedule Pricelist" for every product or service it offers under the contract or schedule.
- 23. Federal agencies can then order products or services from the contractor, up to maximum amounts, at the agreed prices and under the agreed terms and conditions, for the duration of the FSS contract. *See* FAR Subpart 8.4.
- 24. The GSA has determined that the TAA applies to every FSS contract because the anticipated dollar value of every FSS Contract automatically exceeds the TAA threshold. *See* GSA Basic Schedule Ordering Guideline 25. Thus, absent narrow exceptions, only products made or substantially transformed in the United States or in a Designated Country can be offered or sold under the FSS Contracts.
- 25. To implement this TAA requirement, GSA FSS contractors are required to certify that, unless the Government specifically agrees to an exception, all products for sale under a FSS Contract will comply with the TAA.
- 26. FSS Contracts contain the TAA clause, FAR §52.225-5 (Jan. 2005), which sets forth the requirements of the TAA. FSS contractors execute a Trade Agreements certificate when bidding on an FSS Contract:
 - (a) the offeror certifies that each end product, except those listed in paragraph (b) of this provision[,] is a U.S. made or designated country end product as defined in the clause of this solicitation entitle "Trade Agreements." (b) the offeror shall list as other end products those supplies that are not U.S.-made or designated country end products.

FAR § 52-225-6 (Jan. 2005).

27. The FAR also require government contracting officers to include a substantially identical Trade Agreement certificate in any other definite or indefinite-quantity contract the dollar value of which exceeds the applicable TAA threshold. *See* FAR § \$25.403(b); 25.1101(c).

- 28. The Trade Agreements certificate is a material term of all government contracts in which it appears, and the contractor's compliance with the certificate is a condition of payment for goods delivered under those contracts.
- 29. In addition to the TAA issues, GSA FSS contracts also require that the price offered to GSA be the best or lowest price that the seller offers to other purchasers. GSA Acquisition Manual § 552.238-75.

B. THE FALSE CLAIM ACT

- 30. The FCA imposes liability upon any person who: (a)"knowingly presents or causes to be presented [to the government] a false or fraudulent claim for payment or approval"; or (b)"knowingly makes, uses, causes to be made or used, a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim." 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(A) and (B), as amended.
- 31. The FCA imposes liability not only for intentionally false or fraudulent conduct, but also where an individual "acts in deliberate ignorance of the truth or falsity of the information" or "in reckless disregard of the truth or falsity of the information." 31 U.S.C. § 3729(b)(1)(A)(ii) or (iii).
- 32. The FCA defines material as "having a natural tendency to influence or be capable of influencing the payment or receipt of property or money." 31 U.S.C. § 3729(b)(4).

VI. SOMA'S FALSE CLAIMS AND FALSE CERTIFICATIONS OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE TAA

- 33. Since 2009 and continuing through at least September 2015, Defendants have been and are intentionally and knowingly selling non-TAA compliant products to the United States and its agencies in violation of the TAA and related authorities.
 - 34. In 2009, SOMA entered into the first of two FSS Contracts with the GSA.
- 35. SOMA' first GSA contracts was GS-02F-0046W, Schedule 75. Since 2009 through September 2015, SOMA has sold in excess of \$25,000,000 in goods to federal government agencies under that FSS Contract.

- 36. In 2011, SOMA entered into its second FSS Contract, GS-35F- 0077Y, Schedule 70. Since 2011 through September 2015, SOMA has sold in excess of \$749,000 in goods to federal government agencies under that FSS Contract.
- 37. United States agencies to whom SOMA has sold in excess of \$500,000 in goods since 2009 are the: Department of Agriculture, Department of Defense Office of the Secretary of Defense, Department of Homeland Security, Department of Justice, Department of the Air Force, Department of the Army, Department of the Interior, Department of the Navy, Department of Health and Human Services, Department of State, and Department of Veterans Affairs.
- 38. In addition to these agencies, since 2009, SOMA has also sold printers, scanners, computers and other goods to other agencies of the United States including the: the Corps of Civil Engineers, Department of Commerce, Department of Energy, Department of Labor, Department of the Treasury, the Environmental Protection Agency, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Office of Personnel Management, Securities and Exchange Commission, Small Business Administration, and Social Security Administration.
- 39. SOMA's FSS Contracts are covered by the TAA's country of origin provisions, such that only products made in the United States or a Designated Country may be offered and sold to the United States agencies under those contracts.
- 40. By the express terms of SOMA's FSS Contracts, SOMA certified that it would sell only products that originate in the United States or Designated Countries and that it would not sell products to the United States that originate in Non-Designated Countries, such as China and Malaysia.
- 41. SOMA currently has over 29,000 products listed for sale to United States government agencies through the GSA Advantage website.
- 42. A substantial portion of the goods sold by SOMA through its two FSS Contracts were non-TAA compliant. SOMA routinely secures Non-TAA compliant products from its suppliers and knowingly sells those non-compliant products to numerous government

agencies. The country of origin for those products is never disclosed to the government agencies, despite SOMA's certifications that the products are TAA compliant.

- 43. Many of the non-compliant goods sold to government agencies are Hewlett Packard ("HP") printers and scanners made in the People's Republic of China ("China") and Malaysia. In particular, two non-compliant HP products repeatedly sold by SOMA to government agencies are L2737A and L2737A#BGJ.
 - 44. China and Malaysia are not TAA Designated countries.
- 45. Examples of some very recent SOMA sales to United States agencies of HP non-TAA compliant printers and scanners made in China are as follows:

AGENCY	DATE	PRODUCT	PO#	SERIAL#
Air Force	9/21/15	HP Scanner	NGAKW95133N	CN539E9490
Air Force	9/11/15	HP Scanner	NGAKX33854N	CN54HE9353
Navy	4/17/15	HP Printers	NGAKR30933N	CN4744Y039
Bureau of	4/17/15	HP Scanner	NGAKR30628N	CN4ASB705W
Prisons				
DOD – Cyber	4/17/15	HP Printers	NGAKR40101N	CNDFF01856
Crime				CNDFF01860
				CNDFF01861
Independence	4/17/15	HP Printer	NGAKR41239N	CN4BTEX423
National Park				
Bureau of	4/17/15	HP Printer	NGAKR34535N	CNGCH3321Q1
LaborStatistics				
Commerce	4/17/15	HP Printer	NGAKR30110N	CNDCH2S0KN
Dept.				
NIH	4/17/15	HP Printer	NGAKR31127N	CNB8GDGCS1
Air Force	4/17/15	HP Printer	NGAKR32146N	CNDCH2M0SL
Navy	4/15/15	HP Scanner	NGAKP78531N	CN517D9309

	T	I		T
Air Force	4/15/15	HP Printer	NGAKR25669N	CNGCH332QG
Interior Dept.	4/15/15	HP Printer	NGAKR19757N	CNGCH332PV
Air Force	4/15/15	HP Printer	NGAKR01744N	CNB7H288JR
Bureau of	4/15/15	HP Scanners		CN51CD9294
Prisons				CN51CD9320
National	3/30/15	HP Printer	NGAKQ75855N	CNF8H1D969
Archives				
Bureau of	3/30/15	HP Printer	NGAKQ46662N	CNDG153722
Reclamation				
US Marshals	3/25/15	HP Printer	NGAKQ37437N	CNF8H1C340
Homeland	3/23/15	HP Printers	NGAKQ46212N	CNF8GBRD4
Security TSA				CNF8GBRB8M
				CNF8GBBDT
Homeland	3/20/15	HP Printers	NGAKQ27840N	CN4APDW0FY
Security CBP				CN4APDW0TT
US Marshals	3/16/15	HP Printer	NGAKQ17618N	CN4794Y01F
Agriculture	3/16/15	HP Printer	NGAKO91226N	CN467IK07J
DHHS	3/13/15	HP Printer	NGAKQ11516N	CN515HV1QX
DHHS	3/13/15	HP Printer	NGAKQ12043N	CN515HV1R4
State Dept	3/13/15	HP Printers	NGAKP97872N	CN4C2JJ053
				CN4C2JJ04C
				CN42CJJ03C
				CN4C2JJ03K
Agriculture	3/9/15	HP Printer	NGAKP98698N	CNB7GCD1ZW
DOE	3/9/15	HP Scanner	NGAKP93480N	CN4BKD704J

Army SC	3/5/15	HP Printers	NGAKP82989N	CNB8G91CNW
Hosp.				CNB8G91CT9
Army LA	3/5/15	HP Printers		CNDCGC50YX
Hosp.				CNDCGC50YN
Army FT Bliss	3/2/15	HP Printer	NGAKO52946N	CN47M4Y01D
Army	2/27/15	HP Printer	NGAKP70580N	CNB7GCW9QH
Bureau of	2/27/15	HP Scanner	NGAKP58510N	CN48NB701W
Prisons				
Navy	2/16/15	HP Printer	NGAKP33317N	CN4C1E05T
				CN4C1E308M
DHHS	2/13/15	HP Printer	NGAKP26699N	CN4BQE32Q1
TSA	2/13/15	HP Printer	NGAKP21435N	CN4CCJX06Y
Agriculture	2/13/15	HP Printers	GAKP22816N	CN4APDW0Z7
				CN4APDW17D
				CN4CBEX04D
				CN4CBEX04J
				CN4CBEX04V
				CN4CBEX0N9
Veterans	2/13/15	HP Printer	657-P5734	CN4CDEX2SV
Affairs				
DHHS	2/12/15	HP Printer	NGAKP26626N	CN4C1E311W

- 46. The sales listed in paragraph 44 are representative of the thousands of sales of non-TAA compliant goods by Defendants to government agencies since 2009.
- 47. In addition to the HP non-TAA compliant products sold to government agencies, SOMA repeatedly sold non-TAA compliant goods from Dell, Samsung, Brother, Xerox, Lexmark, Ergotron and others to government agencies from 2009 to the present.

- 48. Defendants' sales of non-TAA compliant goods to government agencies was knowing and intentional.
- 49. Many manufacturers, including HP, use country codes in their product serial numbers. Defendants knew the country codes.
- 50. With respect to HP, SOMA was a HP preferred provider. As a preferred provider, SOMA was required to report to HP all of its HP product sales. Defendant Blumenthal was responsible for reporting SOMA's HP product sales to HP. Blumenthal's reports to HP of SOMA's sales included the product serial number, showing the country of origin, and the customer to whom the HP goods were sold. Each time Mr. Blumenthal reported SOMA's sales to HP, he knew that non-TAA compliant goods had been sold to various government agencies.
- 51. Defendant Blumenthal repeatedly instructed Relator, as well as other SOMA employees, to sell non-TAA compliant goods to government agencies so not to lose government agency sales. Many government agencies purchase supplies near the end of the fiscal year. Often due to the large volume of sales at the end of the government's fiscal year, TAA compliant goods were not in stock with some of SOMA's suppliers. Rather than lose the sale to the agency or find other suppliers with TAA compliant goods in stock, Blumenthal instructed Relator and other SOMA employees to supply the non-TAA compliant goods to the government agencies.
- 52. Defendants repeatedly sold non-TAA compliant goods to government agencies from SOMA favored suppliers who provided SOMA better credit and other terms.
- 53. Defendants were incentivized to sell non-TAA compliant HP goods to government agencies due to the bonuses SOMA earned from HP, if SOMA exceeded various HP sales projections. SOMA has repeatedly been a high sale performer for HP and reaped the benefit of those substantial bonuses from HP.
- 54. Relator was repeatedly instructed by Blumenthal not to discuss or provide serial numbers which would reveal the country of origin to GSA or other government agencies.

- 55. Blumenthal was always at SOMA whenever there was a periodic inspection or review by GSA so he could control what was presented or provided to the GSA inspector.
- 56. Defendants made false statements and claims to the United States by selling non-TAA compliant goods to the government agencies.
- 57. Had the procuring agencies known of the true countries of origin of the products they purchased, they would not have purchased the non-TAA compliant goods from SOMA.
- 58. The government agencies, including GSA, relied to their detriment on SOMA's false certifications and representations that all products for sale on SOMA's FSS contracts were manufactured in the United States or a Designated Country.
- 59. In addition to repeatedly selling non-TAA compliant goods and products to the United States, upon information and belief, SOMA routinely fails to provide the best price or discount to its GSA FSS contract goods and routinely provides its non-government private or commercial sector customers with greater discounts and/or lower prices.
- 60. The United States has been and continues to be injured by Defendants' false statements, certifications and claims intended to conceal SOMA's material violations of the country-or-origin provisions of its FSS contracts and the TAA.

COUNT I

Violations of False Claims Act – Presentation of False Claims

- 61. Relator realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1-58 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 62. In performing the acts described above, Defendants, through his/its own acts or through the acts of its officers, knowingly and/or recklessly presented, or caused to be presented, to an officer or employee of the United States Government, false or fraudulent claims for payment or approval in violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(A).

- 63. These claims were false and fraudulent because Defendants made claims for payments knowing that they had supplied non-TAA compliant goods to the government agencies and hid that information from the government agency and GSA.
- 64. The United States, unaware of the foregoing circumstances and conduct of the Defendants, made full payments that would otherwise have not been paid and/or were ineligible for payment, which resulted in its being damaged in an amount to be determined.
- 65. By reason of each Defendants' wrongful conduct, the United States has been damaged by the payment of false and fraudulent claims.

WHEREFORE, Relator respectfully requests this Court to award the following damages to the following parties and against the Defendants:

To the United States:

- (1) Three times the amount of actual damages which the United States has sustained as a result of Defendants' conduct;
- (2) A civil penalty of not less than \$5,500 and not more than \$11,000 for each false claim which Defendants presented or caused to be presented to the United States;
- (3) Pre- and post-judgment interest; and
- (4) All costs incurred in bringing this action.

To Relator:

- (1) The maximum amount allowed pursuant to § 3730(d) of the False Claims Act and/or any other applicable provision of law;
- (2) Reimbursement for reasonable expenses which Relator incurred in connection with this action;
- (3) An award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and
- (4) Such further relief as this Court deems equitable and just.

COUNT II

Violation of False Claims Act – False Statements

- 66. Relator realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1- 58 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 67. In performing the acts described above, each Defendant through his/its own acts or through the acts of its officers, knowingly made, used, or caused to be made or used, a false record or statement to get false or fraudulent claims paid or approved by the Government in violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(B).
 - 68. Such records or statements include the false certifications alleged herein.
- 69. The United States, unaware of the foregoing circumstances and conduct of the Defendants, made full payments which resulted in its being damaged in an amount to be determined.
- 70. By reason of each Defendants' wrongful conduct, the United States has been damaged by the payment of false and fraudulent claims.

WHEREFORE, Relator respectfully requests this Court to award the following damages to the following parties and against the Defendants:

To the United States:

- (1) Three times the amount of actual damages which the United States has sustained as a result of Defendants' conduct;
- (2) A civil penalty of not less than \$5,500 and not more than \$11,000 for each false record or statement Defendants made to get false or fraudulent claims paid or approved by the Government;
- (3) Pre- and post-judgment interest; and
- (4) All costs incurred in bringing this action.

To Relator:

(1) The maximum amount allowed pursuant to § 3730(d) of the False Claims Act and/or any other applicable provision of law;

- (2) Reimbursement for reasonable expenses which Relator incurred in connection with this action;
- (3) An award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and
- (4) Such further relief as this Court deems equitable and just.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Relator demands a jury trial on all claims alleged herein.

Respectfully submitted,

Teresa N. Cavenagh, Esquire tncavenagh@duanemorris.com

Michael M. Mustokoff, Esquire mmustokoff@duanemorris.com 30 South 17th Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 979-1808

Attorneys for Plaintiff/Relator